C8AC8C17	123.2 (2)	C8A'C8'C17'	124.4 (2
C4AC8AC8	117.9 (3)	C1'C8A'C4A'	117.2 (2
C4AC8AC1	117.4 (3)	C1'C8A'C8'	125.2 (2
C8C8AC1	124.7 (2)	C4A'C8A'C8'	117.6 (2

Data collection: *DIF4* (Stoe & Cie, 1991). Cell refinement: *DIF4*. Data reduction: *REDU4* (Stoe & Cie, 1991). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *XP* (Siemens, 1994). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL93*.

This work forms part of the PhD thesis of A. Bahl. We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the Volkswagen-Stiftung and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. Mr A. Weinkauf provided technical assistance.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1206). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–S19.
- Allinger, N. L. & Yuh, Y. (1977). MM2. QCPE No. 3951. Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, USA.
- Annunziata, R., Ponzini, F. & Raimondi, L. (1995). Magn. Reson. Chem. 33, 297-307.
- Bahl, A. (1996). PhD thesis (in preparation), Technical University of Braunschweig, Germany.
- Bahl, A., Grahn, W., Stadler, S., Feiner, F., Bourhill, G., Bräuchle, C., Reisner, A. & Jones, P. G. (1995). Angew. Chem. 107, 1587–1590; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1485–1488.
- Boyer, G., Claramunt, R. M., Elguero, J., Fathalla, M., Foces-Foces, C., Jaime, C. & Llamas-Saiz, A. L. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 757-766.
- Clark, T. & Wiedel, B. (1992). VAMPC 4.41 Program Package. University of Erlangen, Germany.
- Clough, R. L., Kung, W. J., Marsh, R. E. & Roberts, J. D. (1976). J. Org. Chem. 41, 3603-3609.
- Dewar, M. J. S., Zoebisch, E. G., Healy, E. F. & Stewart J. J. P. (1985). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 3902–3909.
- Foster, R. (1969). In Organic Charge-Transfer Complexes. London: Academic Press.
- Jones, P. G., Grahn, W., Bahl, A. & Reisner, A. (1995). Z. Kristallogr. 210, 229–230.
- Kranz, M., Clark, T. & von Ragué Schleyer, P. (1993). J. Org. Chem. 58, 3317–3325.
- Nalva, H. S., Watanabe, T. & Miyata, S. (1995). Adv. Mater. 7, 754-758.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XP. Molecular Graphics Program. Version 5.03.
- Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Stoe & Cie (1991). *DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program.* Version 7.08. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1991). REDU4. Data Reduction Program. Version 7.08. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Tsuji, R., Komatsu, K., Takeuchi, K., Shiro, M., Cohen, S. & Rabinovitz, M. (1993). J. Phys. Org. Chem. 6, 435–444.
- Tsuzuki, S., Tanabe, K., Nagawa, Y. & Nakanishi, H. (1990). J. Mol. Struct. 216, 279-295.
- Wahl, P., Krieger, C., Schweitzer, D. & Staab, H. A. (1984). Chem. Ber. 117, 260–276.

© 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2017-2020

# Methyl {4-[8-(4-Trifluoromethylphenyl)naphthalen-1-yl]phenyl} Selenide

ANDRÉ BAHL,<sup>a</sup> WALTER GRAHN<sup>a</sup> AND PETER G. JONES<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Institut für Organische Chemie, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Hagenring 30, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany, and <sup>b</sup>Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Postfach 3329, 38023 Braunschweig, Germany. E-mail: jones@xray36.anchem.nat.tu-bs.de

(Received 28 May 1996; accepted 11 June 1996)

#### Abstract

The phenyl rings of the title compound,  $C_{24}H_{17}F_3Se$ , make an angle of 21.1 (2)° to each other and 59.1 (1)° (CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted) and 59.6 (1)° (SeMe-substituted) with the naphthalene plane. The major distortion of the naphthalene ring system is seen in the angles C8a— C1—C9, C8—C8a—C1 and C8a—C8—C16, which are all *ca* 125°; there is little out-of-plane distortion.

#### Comment

We have prepared selected 1,8-diarylnaphthalenes, including the title compound (1), to study the effects of through-space  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions on linear and non-linear optical properties. We have shown that donor-acceptor (*D/A*)-substituted 1,8-diarylnaphthalenes possess similar or even higher first hyperpolarizabilities ( $\beta$ ) than compounds of analogous transparency (Bahl *et al.*, 1995); *e.g.* for 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-8-(4-trifluoro-methylphenyl)naphthalene, (2),  $\beta$  is determined to be  $35 \times 10^{-30}$  e.s.u. (electrostatic units) by hyper-Rayleigh scattering (HRS) in chloroform solution (*cf.* paranitro-aniline  $\beta = 17 \times 10^{-30}$  e.s.u.). In an attempt to improve this value further, the sulfide, (3), and selenide, (1), derivatives have been prepared.



Aromatics with two (or more) potential leaving groups (e.g. I, Br) cause the formation of bis- or oligosubstitution products during C—C-crosscoupling reactions. Even with equimolar amounts, statistical product distributions are usually obtained. In the case of 1,8diiodo- or dibromonaphthalene, a further complication is the facile dehalogenation, so that 1-arylnaphthalenes without the required *peri*-halogen are often the main product in Kumada-type crosscouplings of Grignard reagents with diiodonaphthalene (Kuroda *et al.*, 1993; Bahl, 1993). The idea of the sequence in the reaction scheme is the use of a less polar and thus less reactive and more selective organometallic species.

The Suzuki-type (Miyaura, Yanagi & Suzuki 1981) crosscoupling reaction, (a), results in the iodo derivative (6) (49%). The steric hindrance of the second arylation is sufficient to reduce the amount of the unwanted bis-product (4%) in the first step. Nevertheless the palladium-catalysed crosscoupling of aryl halides with aryldihydroxyboranes is well suited to syntheses of sterically demanding and strained compounds such as 1,8-diarylnaphthalenes, as shown by the synthesis of (1) in 62% yield (full details are given by Bahl, 1996, and other examples by Bahl *et al.*, 1995).



It has recently been reported that the donor strength of the chalcogen substituents (with regard to  $\beta$ ) decreases in the order SeMe~SMe>>OMe (Blenkle *et al.* 1996). Although the selenide (1) and the sulfide (3) should therefore have comparable first hyperpolarizabilities, the selenide is expected to cause less trouble because of fluorescence in the HRS experiment. The internal heavyatom effect should decrease the quantum yield of (1) compared to (2) or (3) (for a discussion of this effect, with comments on exceptions, see Dreeskamp, Koch & Zander, 1975).

Crystals of (1) belong to the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$ . As this is centrosymmetric, the compound (at least in this modification) is not suited for frequency doubling. Fig. 1 shows the conformation of the molecule in the crystalline state (143 K).

In the previous paper of this series (Bahl, Grahn & Jones, 1996), we drew attention to the flexibility of *peri*diarylnaphthalenes, as revealed by a comparison of Xray structures, AM1 calculations and solution properties. In this case, AM1 calculations on (1) also revealed a similar flat energy surface for a variation of the interring dihedral angles, as would be expected in view of the lack of *ortho*- or *meta*-substituents. The two phenyl rings of (1) face each other in an approximately parallel arrangement [interplanar angle 21.1 (2)°], while subtending angles of 59.1 (1)° (C9–14) and 59.6 (1)° (C16–21) with the naphthalene plane. These angles are smaller than in the parent compound, 1,8-diphenylnaphthalene (67°; Tsuji *et al.*, 1993) or in (4) (66/69°



Fig. 1. The molecule of compound (1) in the crystal. Radii are arbitrary. Only one position of the disordered  $CF_3$  group is shown.

and 62/64° in two independent molecules; Jones, Grahn, Bahl & Reisner, 1995).

As known from X-ray structures of other 1,8-diarylnaphthalenes (Bahl et al., 1996, and references therein). the steric strain induced by the non-bonded interactions between the peri-phenyl rings is reduced by in-plane (bond distances C8a-C1, C8a-C8; bond angles C8a-C1-C9, C8a-C8-C16) and out-of-plane deformations (dihedral angles C4-C4a-C8a-C1, C5-C4a-C8a—C8) of the naphthalene spacer. Interestingly, in (1) the out-of-plane deformation is guite small; the naphthalene moiety is planar within a mean deviation of 0.022 Å. [In contrast, compound (4) showed displacements of C1 and C8 up to 0.14 Å out of the best plane]. The main release of steric strain can therefore be attributed to in-plane deformations, namely the 125° angles C8a-C1-C9, C8-C8a-C1 and C8a-C8-C16 (Table 2). These are also responsible for the splaying of the phenyl rings, as illustrated by the contact distances C9. C16 3.003 (4), C12. C19 3.986 (4) Å.

### Experimental

Compound (1) was synthesized in two steps: (a) equimolar amounts of compound (5) and the methylselenyl phenylboronic acid were treated with 2 eq.  $K_2CO_3$ , 3% Pd[PPh<sub>3</sub>]<sub>4</sub> in toluene, EtOH and water; (b) compound (6) was treated with 1.5 eq. of the trifluoromethyl phenylboronic acid as described in (a). Crystals of (1) were obtained by slow evaporation of a chloroform solution.

#### Crystal data

C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>3</sub>Se  $M_r = 441.34$ Monoclinic  $P2_1/n$  a = 9.3756 (14) Å b = 9.187 (2) Å c = 22.287 (5) Å  $\beta = 94.622 (12)^{\circ}$   $V = 1913.4 (6) Å^{3}$  Z = 4  $D_x = 1.532 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  not measured

#### Data collection

Stoe Stadi-4 diffractometer  $\omega/\theta$  scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$ -scans (*XEMP*; Nicolet, 1987)  $T_{min} = 0.587, T_{max} =$ 1.000 4663 measured reflections 4402 independent reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0492$   $wR(F^2) = 0.1327$  S = 1.0384389 reflections 267 parameters H atoms: rigid methyl group, others riding  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0502P)^2 + 4.0665P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å Cell parameters from 54 reflections  $\theta = 10-11.5^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.997$  mm<sup>-1</sup> T = 143 (2) K Tablet  $0.50 \times 0.50 \times 0.20$  mm Colourless

3582 observed reflections  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$   $R_{int} = 0.0285$   $\theta_{max} = 27.54^{\circ}$   $h = 0 \rightarrow 12$   $k = 0 \rightarrow 11$   $l = -29 \rightarrow 28$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: 4%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.926$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.959 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.803 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalentisotropic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ )

## $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
Se	0.83281 (4)	0.17593 (5)	0.58593 (2)	0.03858 (14)
C1	0.2079 (3)	0.2087 (3)	0.74173 (13)	0.0198 (6)
C2	0.0623 (3)	0.1912 (4)	0.74448 (14)	0.0260 (6)
C3	0.0031 (4)	0.1935 (4)	0.7992 (2)	0.0286 (7)
C4	0.0803 (4)	0.2135 (4)	0.85153 (15)	0.0278 (7)
C4a	0.2308 (4)	0.2264 (4)	0.85192 (14)	0.0251 (6)
C5	0.3125 (4)	0.2426 (4)	0.90779 (14)	0.0315 (7)
C6	0.4569 (4)	0.2589 (5)	0.9100 (2)	0.0374 (8)
C7	0.5262 (4)	0.2530(4)	0.8563 (2)	0.0339 (8)
C8	0.4534 (3)	0.2312 (4)	0.80054 (14)	0.0242 (6)
C8a	0.2999 (3)	0.2222 (3)	0.79656(13)	0.0217 (6)
C9	0.2554 (3)	0.2205 (3)	0.67948 (13)	0.0200 (6)
C10	0.3226 (3)	0.3458 (3)	0.66057 (13)	0.0217 (6)
C11	0.3630(3)	0.3565 (4)	0.60229(14)	0.0258 (7)
C12	0.3339 (3)	0.2432 (4)	0.56225 (14)	0.0260 (6)
C13	0.2631 (3)	0.1196 (4)	0.57985 (14)	0.0278 (7)
C14	0.2229 (3)	0.1093 (4)	0.63809 (14)	0.0245 (6)

C15	0.3811 (4)	0.2538 (4)	0.4992 (2)	0.0358 (8)
F1†	0.5147 (4)	0.2795 (13)	0.4989 (2)	0.148 (4)
F2†	0.3185 (7)	0.3654 (7)	0.4695 (2)	0.104 (3)
F3†	0.3424 (10)	0.1494 (6)	0.4647 (2)	0.125 (4)
F1'‡	0.4747 (10)	0.3515 (9)	0.4927 (5)	0.037 (3)
F2'‡	0.2912 (9)	0.2555 (14)	0.4604 (4)	0.053 (3)
F3'‡	0.4614(11)	0.1326(10)	0.4879 (5)	0.047 (3)
C16	0.5436(3)	0.2190 (4)	0.74879 (14)	0.0237 (6)
C17	0.6355(3)	0.3319 (4)	0.73632 (15)	0.0267 (6)
C18	0.7212(3)	0.3242 (4)	0.68826 (15)	0.0288 (7)
C19	0.7172(3)	0.2012 (4)	0.65187 (14)	0.0274 (7)
C20	0.6284 (3)	0.0852 (4)	0.66546 (15)	0.0280(7)
C21	0.5437 (3)	0.0943 (4)	0.71281 (14)	0.0265 (7)
C22	0.9268 (5)	0.3634 (5)	0.5847 (2)	0.0501 (11)

 $\pm$  Site occupancy = 0.718 (8).  $\pm$  Site occupancy = 0.282 (8).

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)					
Se—C19	1.910 (3)	C4—C4a	1.416 (5)		
Se—C22	1.936 (5)	C4a—C5	1.416(5)		
C1-C8a	1.443 (4)	C8—C8a	1.437 (4)		
C1—C9	1.495 (4)	C8—C16	1.489 (4)		
C8a-C1-C9	125.3 (3)	C8-C8a-C1	125.8 (3)		
C8a-C8-C16	125.2 (3)				

The CF<sub>3</sub> fluorines are markedly anisotropic and are disordered over two positions with refined occupancies 0.718, 0.282 (8).

Data collection: *DIF*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1991*a*). Cell refinement: *DIF*4. Data reduction: *REDU*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1991*b*). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *XP* (Siemens, 1994). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*93.

This work forms part of the PhD thesis of A. Bahl. We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the Volkswagen-Stiftung and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. Mr A. Weinkauf provided technical assistance.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1208). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Bahl, A. (1993). Diplomarbeit, Technical University of Braunschweig, Germany.
- Bahl, A. (1996). PhD thesis (in preparation), Technical University of Braunschweig, Germany.
- Bahl, A., Grahn, W. & Jones, P. G. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 2014– 2017.
- Bahl, A., Grahn, W., Stadler, S., Feiner, F., Bourhill, G., Bräuchle, C., Reisner, A. & Jones, P. G. (1995). Angew. Chem. 107, 1587–1590; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1485–1488.
- Blenkle, M., Boldt, P., Bräuchle, C., Grahn, W., Ledoux, I., Nerenz, H., Stadler, S., Wichern, J. & Zyss, J. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2. In the press.
- Dreeskamp, H., Koch, E. & Zander, M. (1975). Chem. Phys. Lett. 31, 251-253.
- Jones, P. G., Grahn, W., Bahl, A. & Reisner, A. (1995). Z. Kristallogr. 210, 229–230.
- Kuroda, M., Nakayama, J., Hoshino, M., Furusho, N., Kawata, T. & Ohba, S. (1993). *Tetrahedron*, 49, 3735–3748.
- Miyaura, N., Yanagi, T. & Suzuki, A. (1981). Synth. Commun. 11, 513-519.

Nicolet (1987). XEMP (part of Nicolet X-ray program system). Nicolet Analytical X-ray Instruments, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XP. Molecular Graphics Program, version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Stoe & Cie (1991a). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version
- 7.08. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1991b). REDU4. Data Reduction Program. Version 7.08. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Tsuji, R., Komatsu, K., Takeuchi, K., Shiro, M., Cohen, S. & Rabinovitz, M. (1993). J. Phys. Org. Chem. 6, 435–444.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2020-2022

# Bis(2,4-diaminopyrimidinium) Sulfate Monohydrate, $2C_4H_7N_4^+$ . $SO_4^{2-}$ . $H_2O_7^+$

A. C. Gomes,<sup>*a*</sup> Goutam Biswas,<sup>*a*</sup> Sampa Pain (Biswas),<sup>*a*</sup> Sreya Ghosh,<sup>*a*</sup> Dolly Ghosh,<sup>*b*</sup> Y. Iitaka<sup>*c*</sup> and Asok Banerjee<sup>*a*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Bose Institute, Biophysics Department, Calcutta 700054, India, <sup>b</sup>Bose Institute, Chemistry Department, 93/1 APC Road, Calcutta 700009, India, and <sup>c</sup>3-3-10 Komagoma, Toshima, Tokyo 170, Japan

(Received 8 April 1994; accepted 17 July 1995)

## Abstract

The asymmetric unit of the crystal consists of one sulfate ion, one water molecule and two 2,4-diaminopyrimidine (DAP) molecules stacked parallel to the *b* direction with average perpendicular separations of 3.310 (4) and 3.343 (4) Å, respectively. The tilt angle between the least-squares planes of the two DAP molecules in the asymmetric unit is 2.1 (1)°. The structure is stabilized by stacking forces, N—H···N hydrogen bonds and N—H···O hydrogen bonds.

#### Comment

2,4-Diaminopyrimidines and condensed pyrimidine systems are strong antagonists of folic acid (Hitchings, Elion, Vanderwerff & Falco, 1948; Hitchings, Falco, Vanderwerff, Russel & Elion, 1952) and some of these compounds possess growth-inhibitory properties affecting various living systems. It has also been found that 2,4-diaminopyrimidine has strong growth-inhibitory activity against *C. albicans*; the activities of 2,4,5,6tetraamino- and 2,4,6-triaminopyrimidine are much weaker in that respect (Mukherjee, 1968). Therefore, in continuation of our structural studies of nucleic acid components (Banerjee, Dattagupta, Saenger & Rabczenko, 1977; Banerjee, Saenger, Lesyng, Kazimierczuk & Shugar, 1978; Biswas, Iitaka, Shugar & Banerjee, 1989), the structure of the title compound, (I), was determined.



The structure consists of columnar stacks of DAP molecules and linear arrays of alternating  $SO_4^{2-}$  ions and water molecules, both running in the *b* direction (Fig. 2). Both the DAP molecules (*A* and *A'*) are planar, the largest deviations from the least-squares planes of the appropriate ring atoms being 0.039 (4) Å for N2 and 0.032 (4) Å for N2' and N4'. The atoms N1 and N1' are protonated. The average perpendicular distance between successive molecules of a stack alternates between 3.310 (4) and 3.343 (4) Å. Significant base-stacking interaction is obvious from the degree of overlap between adjacent base molecules (Fig. 2) and the short stack contacts  $C2' \cdots C4$  (3.310 Å) and  $C6' \cdots C2$  (3.391 Å).



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) plot of the contents of the unit cell showing the atomic numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Hydrogen-bonding parameters are given in Table 2. Fig. 2 shows that DAP molecules pair up (a characteristic feature of nucleo bases) through two N—  $H \cdots N$  type hydrogen bonds symmetrically disposed about a centre of inversion. Stacks of the base pairs form hydrophobic columns. Sulfate-water arrays, stabilized by OW— $HW1\cdots O2$  and OW— $HW2\cdots O3$  hydro-

<sup>†</sup> The Abstract of this paper was presented at the National Seminar on Crystallography, Madras University, India, 15-17 December, 1993.